

Refusing to Stay Silent: Chicano Youth and the Making of Educational Justice

***Sailee Charlu**

Orange County School of the Arts

Abstract

The 1968 East LA Walkouts marked a revolutionary moment in the Chicano Movement as students exercised their historically-suppressed rights by walking out of the classroom to challenge systemic educational discrimination, culturally unresponsive curricula and structural barriers to academic achievement. Building on legal precedents like *Mendez v. Westminster*, students confronted the persistent segregation that led to nearly half of Chicano students dropping out by ninth grade, while facing the threat of punitive consequences and academic exclusion. This study examines the dual forces of fear and moral courage that shapes student participation amidst violent suppression. Drawing on historical accounts as well as current academic research, the paper demonstrates that fear of expulsion and legal retaliation suppressed mobilization, but failed to prevent the emergence of strategic, nonviolent activism. Inspired by civil rights leaders such as Martin Luther King Jr. and Cesar Chavez, students harnessed their power as a collective organization to undermine systemic inequalities. Even under such conditions of risk, Chicano youth were able to assert their agency, united by their shared cultural identity despite governmental surveillance and community hostility. The analysis also situates these protests within broader social and political contexts, including labor mobilizations and media manipulation, creating tensions that build upon previous Mexican American discrimination. By examining student-led strategies and organization, like the East LA Walkouts, support from groups like the Brown Berets and MEChA, the study exposes how moral leadership and nonviolent action not only contributed to tangible education reforms, including the Bilingual Education Act and ethnic studies requirements, but created a major cascading effect for racial justice.

Keywords: Chicano, East LA Walkouts, bilingual education, education equality, Mexican, racial justice, protest

Historical Context: The East LA Walkouts and the Chicano Movement

In 1968, the East LA Walkouts marked the beginning of the Chicano Movement, a pivotal and conscious-raising moment in the broader struggle for civil rights during the 1960s. Aimed at securing social, political and educational equality for Chicanos or Mexican Americans, this movement was largely initiated by the youth who sought to rehabilitate their culturally unresponsive environment (Mariscal) and the schools who set them up for academic failure: nearly 50% dropped out by ninth grade (Mejías-Rentas). With past legal precedent like *Mendez vs. Westminster*, which granted Hispanic youth equal access to schools but failed to eliminate segregation (U.S Courts), the Chicano students addressed these systemic issues such as the lack of culturally nuanced curricula and racial discrimination (Chavez). Approaching their struggle against the backdrop of the great *La Raza* social and political upheaval (Mariscal), students drew inspiration from nonviolent leaders like Martin Luther King Jr. and Cesar Chavez, organizing protests to demand an end to their marginalization (Ernesto). Despite their demands to end racial discrimination, access quality education, and eliminate cultural erasure, the fear of expulsion, legal repercussions, and violent suppression loomed large, discouraging many from participating (Escobar). The article, "Moral Courage and Intelligent Disobedience", written by Ted Thomas and Ira Chaleff, experienced authors who question the intersection of leadership and morality, explores this duality between fear and courage during this movement. As Robert F. Kennedy once articulated, moral courage is about standing up for one's beliefs against societal pressures; this tension between fear and courage allowed for the politicization and self-advocacy of Chicano youth (Chaleff and Thomas). This leads to a crucial question: How did the threat of punitive consequences impact students' decisions to protest, and how did their moral courage guide their strategies during the Chicano Movement?

Punitive Systems and Suppression of Student Protest

As a majority student-led phenomena that stemmed from the school systems and the lack of opportunities that limited Chicanos to menial jobs, the concerns over the legal repercussions and social stigma suppressed movement's organization and the willingness of Chicano students to engage. The fear of both academic and physical punishment on campus hindered the support for this growing movement, suppressing its potential impact across the state and country. Bunmi Isaiah Omodan, a researcher on punitive measures in tandem with activism, highlights that punitive measures often deter dissatisfaction and activism among students. He argues that "the fear of academic exclusion" often supersedes the urgency to address systemic injustices, particularly among marginalized youth (Omodan). This psychological burden in combination with stringent academic punishment and financial difficulties (Christenson), leaves students vulnerable to isolation and stigmatization, limiting collective activism. Gloria Arellanes, a participant at the protest at El Monte High School, exemplifies this fear: after witnessing tear gas and bullets during the demonstrations, she stated that "I never went back to the Chicano movement... I felt fear, and I couldn't handle this very well" (Garcia). The US's tough crackdown on peaceful demonstrations reveal how fear not only stunted participation but silenced Mexican American youth, an already marginalized community without basic rights, ultimately preventing meaningful collective action for justice.

Government Surveillance and the Criminalization of Chicano Activism

Adding to this atmosphere of fear was the US government's view of the Chicano Movement as a national security threat, with the FBI's Counterintelligence Program labeling student groups like Students for a Democratic Society (SDS) as "militant" to undermine their legitimacy (Wolf et al.). This punitive framing created an environment where honorable actions and protests were met with suspicion and hostility, eliminating any student activism. The harsh crackdown and punishment of students not only led to delegitimization but hindered student ability to make moral and just decisions as a result of the fear and repression.

Cultural Fragmentation, Media Narratives, and Internalized Silence

Additionally with the systemic discrimination and lack of educational resources, student protests were oppressed and violently quelled. George Mariscal, Director of the Chicano Humanities Program at UCSD, notes that the students are "not a group" and that the odds seemed stacked against Chicano student activism due to a history of fragmentation and individualism within Mexican American communities (Mariscal). Students faced the dual weight of societal expectations and systemic punishment that was highly prevalent in the school systems, reinforcing the "quiet fighter"

archetype within Chicano cultural norms(Delgado). Even media sources like *La Opinión* and *The Modesto Bee* that condemned this national phenomenon as violent uprisings(Maxwell), arousing cultural pressures to remain silent. Melinda Jako, a historian and filmmaker who narrates the life of Elouise Cobell, realized the “terrible power of the government, and the ...restraints on power[that] are never fully revealed until the government turns against the people”(Jako). In similarity to the US’s cruel treatment of the Indigenous, stripping them of their ability to provide for their communities, the experience of the Chicano students is no different. Following the mass mobilizations of immigrants who inhabited the US for generations (Kratz) and the state made social Chicano movements nearly impossible in the new millennia, preventing rapid change from happening and furthered entrenched social biases that were never erased because of state power. In this case, fear of government authority and academic institutions complicated the emotional landscape for activists, causing them to prioritize their immediate safety over their future success.

Moral Courage and Nonviolent Resistance

With a peaceful approach and student-led unity, the Chicano movement, following the labor strikes and mobilization, became a recuperation project that startled a modern revolutionary consciousness among Mexicans in the United States. The influence of nonviolence, inspired by Civil Rights leaders, shaped the movement’s success by reinforcing its moral authority. In an article published by the UCLA Chicano Studies Research Center, Cesar Chavez, one of the key leaders of the Chicano Movement told an interviewer, “To be militant merely means to be demanding and to be persistent, and in this sense I think the [Chicano] nonviolent movement has demonstrated great militancy”(Mariscal). Built on earlier civil rights movements, this shared sense of lost homeland furthered the students’ peaceful struggles as a cultural family, upholding the essence of what it meant to be Chicano. As an American labor leader who heavily influenced youth activism, Cesar Chavez was a symbol of both labor rights and cultural solidarity, inspiring future generations of activists as he demanded better school conditions and working wages for students (Valencia). Research conducted by Sirianne Dahlum, a Harvard-educated political science scholar who specializes in global resistance amongst marginalized communities, highlights how nonviolent resistance can effectively achieve long-term reforms by fostering public sympathy and solidarity. She argues that nonviolent activism is “twice as likely to achieve lasting reform,” fostering public sympathy and cultivating coalitions that amplify moral authority. This strategic use of nonviolence, heavily influenced by civil rights leaders, not only shaped the movement's narrative but enhanced students’ moral authority, enabling them to advocate effectively for change. Through persistence and gradual advocacy, the Mexican-American student demonstrations were marked by persistence and gradual sacrifice to eventually achieve equity.

Student Leadership, Unity, and Collective Identity

Another key factor that allowed these movements to find their place in the US’s prejudiced environment was the unity and leadership of Chicano students that sustained the movement, cultivating a sense of collective purpose and resilience within their communities. In his Inaugural Address of 1933, Franklin D. Roosevelt wove rhetoric and logic through his speeches in order to uplift the nation amidst the Great Depression, declaring that “leadership [stems from]...the understanding and support of the people themselves which is essential to victory”(Roosevelt). As Roosevelt underscores the importance of local leaders linking communities during this economic crisis, similarly, the Chicano student leaders, with the assistance of the Brown Berets activist organization that served as a buffer between students and the police (Flores), relied on shared cultural identity to mobilize widespread support. He reveals that effective leadership arises from the deep understanding of alignment despite their constant fear, reinforcing the power of moral authority. Guadalupe San Miguel Jr., a distinguished American historian and researcher on Mexican American activism, reveals this descent into a unifying Chicano identity will spark “‘radical’ direct action” as a critical factor in their struggle for equality (San Miguel Jr.). As they became integrated into an Americanized reality, the community had to abandon their identity as white in order to obtain justice(Urrieta Jr.). By embracing a shared Mexican American identity and organizing grassroots efforts, these Chicano students confronted system injustices and reshaped their community’s role in American society, proving that unity and moral courage are powerful tools for meaningful change.

Legacy, Policy Impact, and Contemporary Implications

To effectively address the ongoing challenges of educational equity and activism, the legacy of the Chicano Movement offers crucial insights into collective action and systemic reform. The movement's success in advocating for educational change, despite fear and punitive consequences, can serve as a model for future activism. With the 1968 Bilingual Education Act that augmented the power of bilingual school environments (Wiese and García) and California's 2021 ethnic studies graduation requirement incorporated into colleges (Penner and Ma), equity in education started evolving. Scholars like Bunmi Isaiah Omodan note that fear of academic exclusion and legal consequences often deter activism, a challenge Chicano students overcame through nonviolent protests. Sirianne Dahlum's research reinforces the effectiveness of nonviolent movements in achieving lasting change by building broader coalitions. Similarly, George Mariscal and Guadalupe San Miguel Jr. emphasize how Chicano students united under a shared cultural identity to push for educational reforms. Building on these insights, future student-led movements can safeguard themselves by establishing form advocacy coalitions similar to the Education Issues Coordinator Committee, a parent board during the East LA Walkouts that advocated for student demands (Borman et al.), to address issues such as curriculum relevance, school safety, and resource allocation. Facilitating monthly meetings to develop focused action plans, these groups can create systemic accountability and more minority community involvement. Second, mentorship programs can also be developed to empower marginalized youth, mirroring the Brown Berets or organizations like MECha who advocate for systemic reforms in school while recruiting students. Pairly students with professionals who can provide information on leadership, educational policies, and Chicano rights within the school system, these programs ensure that Chicanos have a direct role in shaping culturally relevant education policies. Third, advocating for school districts to implement policies to protect student ability to peacefully protest versus disruptive behavior would reduce punitive consequences and increase student protection. While establishing coalitions and mentorship programs can enhance advocacy efforts, challenges such as differing priorities among stakeholders, mentor availability, and inadequate training may hinder their effectiveness. Furthermore, attempts to enhance legal protections for student activists may face administrative resistance and students' fears of retaliation, potentially discouraging meaningful engagement in activism. While the majority of Chicano students struggle to navigate the tide of systemic discrimination and historic suppression of their rights enshrined in the Constitution, the youth's iron will and ability to utilize moral courage as a guiding force can drive tangible, lasting reform. The stringent policies and weak power ultimately shaped movements characterized by student unity and nonviolent action, creating a successful model for future advocacy.

References

- Borman, G. D., et al. (2001). *Compensatory education at the crossroads*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
<https://books.google.com/books?id=sMmQAgAAQBAJ>
- Chávez, E. (2002). *Mi raza primero! (My people first!): Nationalism, identity, and insurgency in the Chicano movement in Los Angeles, 1966–1978*. University of California Press. <https://books.google.com/books?id=ebgwDwAAQBAJ>
- Christenson, C. (2021, August 11). *The 1968 East L.A. walkouts and the sorry state of U.S. education*. Interzine.
https://www.chicano.ucla.edu/files/news/The%201968%20East%20LA%20Walkouts%20and%20the%20Sorry%20State%20of%20US%20Education_INTERZINE_08112021.pdf
- Dahlum, S. (2019). *Students in the streets: Education and nonviolent protest*. Peace Research Institute Oslo.
<https://www.prio.org/publications/11095>
- Delgado, M. R. (2009). *The last Chicano*. AuthorHouse. <https://books.google.com/books?id=5SxQEE17m80C>
- Escobar, E. J. (1993). The dialectics of repression: The Los Angeles Police Department and the Chicano movement, 1968–1971. *Journal of American History*, 79(4), 1483–1514.
- Garcia, M. T. (2018). *The Chicano generation: Testimonies of the movement*. University of California Press.
<https://books.google.com/books?id=XbQwDwAAQBAJ>
- History.com Editors. (2024, February 29). *Thousands of Chicano students stage school walkouts in East L.A.* History.
<https://www.history.com/this-day-in-history/chicano-school-walkouts-east-los-angeles-1968>
- Janko, M. (2013). Elouise Cobell: A small measure of justice. *American Indian Magazine*, 14(Summer), 3.
- Kratz, J. (2023, September 27). *The Bracero Program: Prelude to César Chávez and the farm worker movement*. Pieces of History.
<https://prologue.blogs.archives.gov/2023/09/27/the-bracero-program-prelude-to-cesar-chavez-and-the-farm-worker-movement/>
- Mariscal, G. (2010). *Brown-eyed children of the sun: Lessons from the Chicano movement*. University of New Mexico Press. <https://books.google.com/books?id=Z45UUJmIAblC>
- Mariscal, J. (2004). Negotiating César: César Chávez in the Chicano movement. *Aztlán: A Journal of Chicano Studies*, 29(1), 33–56. <https://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/csrr/aztlan/2004/00000029/00000001/art00003>
- Maxwell, R. (2006). The Chicano movement, the broadcast reform movement, and the sociology of “minorities and media”: A study of cultural hegemony in the United States. University of Wisconsin–Madison.
- Omodan, B. I. (2023). Psychological implication of student unrest on student leaders: A social support perspective. *Frontiers in Psychology*. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10694326/>
- Penner, E. K., & Ma, D. (2018). *Access to ethnic studies in California public schools* (EdWorkingPaper No. 23-886). Brown University. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED638881>
- Roosevelt, F. D. (1933, March 4). *First inaugural address*. https://avalon.law.yale.edu/20th_century/froos1.asp
- San Miguel, G., Jr. (2005). *Brown, not white: School integration and the Chicano movement in Houston*. Texas A&M University Press. <https://books.google.com/books?id=vNBmeZlsDnkC>
- Thomas, T., & Chaleff, I. (2017). Moral courage and intelligent disobedience. *Interagency Journal*, 8(1), 58–66.

<https://thesimonscenter.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/IAJ-8-1-Winter2017-pg58-66.pdf>

To protect and to serve: Effects of the relationship between the Brown Berets and law enforcement. (n.d.). *CSUSB ScholarWorks*. <https://scholarworks.lib.csusb.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1178&context=history-in-the-making>

Urrieta, L., Jr. (2009). *Working from within: Chicana and Chicano activist educators in Whitestream schools*. University of Arizona Press. https://books.google.com/books?id=C_UrEAAAQBAJ

Valencia, R. R. (2005). *Success* (2nd ed.). Taylor & Francis. <https://books.google.com/books?id=SRqCAgAAQBAJ>

Wiese, A. M., & Garcia, E. E. (1998). The Bilingual Education Act: Language minority students and equal educational opportunity. *Bilingual Research Journal*, 22(1), 1–24.

Wolf, P., et al. (2001). *COINTELPRO: The untold American story*. Center for Democratic Control